Case 4:17-cr-00116-HSG Document 12 Filed 03/14/17 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

MAR 1 4 2017

SUSAN Y SOONG

United States of A	merica,)	Case No. CR 17-116 1156/17-MJ- PORANIS MAGIN	
	intiff,)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT	
V.)		
More Allen f	fores)		
Dej	endam.)		
Trial Act from continuance outwe	$\frac{3/14/17}{17}$, to $\frac{4/3}{17}$	on 3/14/17, the Court excludes time under the Speedy 7, and finds that the ends of justice served by the blic and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § bases this continuance on the following factors:	
	ailure to grant a continuance vee 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(rould be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.	
de or	efendants, the nature of the pro r law, that it is unreasonable to	onplex, due to [circle applicable reasons] the number of osecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial blished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).	
		ould deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
co		yould unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (v).	n
ne		rould unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time on, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.	
31		ord, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § asent of the defendant under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure	
		ord, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § ing from removal/transport of the defendant to another district.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.			

DATED: 3/14/17

Attorney for Defendant

Kandis A. Westmore

United States Magistrate Judge

Assistant United States Attorney